UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,401	09/12/2005	Irene Bozzoni	2520-1050	2717
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/30/2007 OMPSON	EXAM	EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			RAMIREZ, DELIA M	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1652	
		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

18

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/520,401	BOZZONI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Delia M. Ramirez	1652			
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This act		action is non-final.	· ·			
<i>'</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Cl	aims	. •				
4)⊠ Claim(s)	18-34 is/are pending in the application	1				
	e above claim(s) is/are withdrav					
<u>·</u>) is/are allowed.	William Scholaciana.	,			
	is/are rejected.		,			
· <u> </u>	is/are objected to.		·			
	18-34 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Pape	rs					
_						
•	cification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
		* * * *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	ertified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
 Information Disc Paper No(s)/Mai 	losure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) I Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application .			
S. Patent and Trademark Office		•				

Application/Control Number: 10/520,401

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

Claims 18-34 are pending.

Applicant's preliminary amendment canceling claims 1-17 and adding new claims 18-34 in a communication filed on 1/6/2005 is acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 18-21, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a protein with endoribonuclease activity, and a vector comprising said nucleic acid.

Group II, claim(s) 22-24, 33-34, drawn in part to a polypeptide having endoribonuclease activity which comprises SEQ ID NO: 2.

Group III, claim(s) 25-28, drawn to a method for analyzing or detecting biomolecules with a protein having endoribonuclease activity.

Group IV, claim(s) 29, 31, drawn to a method for preparation of a pharmaceutical kit, wherein said kit comprises a protein having endoribonuclease activity.

Group V, claim(s) 30, 32, drawn to a method for synthesizing biological macromolecules with a protein having endoribonuclease activity.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,401

Art Unit: 1652

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Page 3

- 3. According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature linking Groups I-V is a polypeptide having endoribonuclease activity, wherein said activity is characterized in that it is polyU and single filament specific, Mn⁺⁺ ion-dependent and able to release 2'-3' cyclic phosphate and 5'OH end cleavage products, which is shown by Caffarelli et al. (Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 233:514-517, 1997; cited in the IDS) to lack novelty or inventive step since Caffarelli et al. teach a novel Mn⁺⁺ ion-dependent endoribonuclease which is polyU specific, single filament specific (RNA) and releases 2'-3' cyclic phosphate and 5'OH end cleavage products, as evidenced by Laneve et al. (J. Biol. Chem. 278(15):13026-13032, 2003; cited in the IDS). The endoribonuclease first isolated by Caffarelli et al. was further characterized by Laneve et al. Therefore, the technical feature linking Groups I-V does not make a contribution over the prior art and the claimed inventions do not meet the requirement of unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.2.
- 4. The polynucleotide of Group I does not have unity of invention with the methods of Groups III-V in view of the fact that the methods of Groups III-V are methods of use of the polypeptide of Group II.
- 5. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,401

Art Unit: 1652

6. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in

Page 4

- scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order
- to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process

claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to

do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by

the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement can be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PMR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1652

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delia M. Ramirez whose telephone number is (571) 272-0938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on (571) 272-0928. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Delia M. Ramirez, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1652

DR January 22, 2007